

When the paying arm of the government says:

*A court of legitimate authority has made an order but we will not obey it because we have decided to put the money from which the court ordered the payments to be made to another use,*

there you have the end of law.

The government cannot say to the courts – we disagree, with the courts about the law, without passing a law to that effect. This is the theory of all modern western democracies. The law is what the courts say it is unless the legislature says otherwise. The paying arm can ask the parliament or congress to step in and change the law, but it cannot just say

*No,*

to the courts or the law is put in abeyance.